



Environmental Affairs

March 20, 2018

Mr. Wayne Kino
Director of Compliance and Enforcement
Bay Area Air Quality Management District
939 Ellis Street
San Francisco, CA 94109

RECEIVED

2018 MAR 22 PM 3:07

BAY AREA AIR QUALITY
MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

**RE: Submittal of Air Quality Compliance Status Reports for United Airlines, Inc. –
San Francisco Maintenance Center - BAAQMD Plant No. 51:**

Dear Mr. Kino:

In accordance with United Airlines, Inc.'s (United) Major Facility Review Permit dated April 2, 2015, we hereby submit the below referenced reports, which are due on or before March 31, 2018. The following table contains a summary of these reports:

Report Description	Reporting Period
Major Facility Review Permit (Title V) Annual Compliance Certification Report*	March 1, 2017 to February 28, 2018
Major Facility Review Permit (Title V) Semiannual Monitoring Status Report	September 1, 2017 to February 28, 2018
Semiannual Aerospace NESHAP Compliance Status Report	September 1, 2017 to February 28, 2018

* A copy of the Major Facility Review Permit Annual Compliance Certification Report was submitted directly to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX.

If you should have any questions or need additional information regarding this submission, please contact me at (650) 634-4572.

Sincerely,

David Weintraub
Staff Associate, Northern California Air, SFOEN
Environmental Affairs

cc: Alina Fortson – United Airlines, Inc., HDQLD w/o enclosures
BAAQMD (Inspection Division) w/o enclosure



Environmental Affairs

March 20, 2018

Deborah Jordan
Director of Air Division
United States Environmental Protection Agency
Region IX
75 Hawthorne St.
San Francisco, CA 94105

COPY

**RE: Submittal of Air Quality Compliance Status Report for United Airlines, Inc. –
San Francisco Maintenance Center - BAAQMD Plant No. 51:**

Dear Ms. Jordan,

In accordance with United Airlines, Inc. (United) Major Facility Review Permit issued April 2, 2015, we hereby submit the below referenced report as summarized below.

Report Description	Reporting Period
Major Facility Review Permit (Title V) Annual Compliance Certification Report	March 1, 2017 to February 28, 2018

The original report was submitted to the Compliance and Enforcement Division of the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD).

If you should have any questions or need additional information regarding this submission, please contact me at (650) 634-4572.

Sincerely,

David Weintraub
Staff Associate, Northern California Air, SFOEN
Environmental Compliance Department

cc: Alina Fortson – United Airlines, Inc., HDQLD w/o enclosures
Wayne Kino – BAAQMD (Compliance and Enforcement Division)
BAAQMD (Inspection Division) w/o enclosures

San Francisco Maintenance Center • 800 South Airport Blvd. • SFO • San Francisco • CA • 94128-3800


UNITED



**SAN FRANCISCO
MAINTENANCE CENTER**

**Major Facility Review Permit
Semiannual Monitoring Status Report
September 1, 2017 to February 28, 2018**

BAAQMD Facility # A0051



Prepared by:

**United Airlines, Inc.
Environmental Affairs
San Francisco, California**

March 15, 2018



UNITED AIRLINES, INC. - SFMC SEMIANNUAL MONITORING REPORT CERTIFICATION

Facility: United Airlines, Inc. - San Francisco Maintenance Center
San Francisco International Airport
San Francisco, CA 94128

Facility ID: A0051

Reporting Period
September 1, 2017 to February 28, 2018

This monitoring report is required pursuant to the United Airlines, Inc. Major Facility Review Permit Standard Conditions, Section F – Monitoring Report.

Monitoring Statement

This facility was in compliance with all emission limitations and monitoring provisions of the Title V Operating Permit as identified by the compliance methods specified in the permit (i.e., methods that determine whether compliance was continuous or intermittent), except where stated below.

When non-compliance items are identified and reported, or instances where additional data were required to determine compliance, the following information will be included:

1. Emission unit identification number (Source ID);
2. Specific permit condition number;
3. Description of any deviations from the conditions of this permit, or instance where additional information was required to determine compliance, including those attributable to malfunctions/breakdowns; and
4. Basis for the determination of non-compliance (including additional information **not** specified in the permit) and, if applicable, subsequent compliance.

Certification by Responsible Official

Based upon the information and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry, I as a responsible official of the above-mentioned facility, certify the information contained in this report is true, accurate, and complete for the semiannual reporting period indicated above.

(Signature of Responsible Official)

15 MARCH 2018

(Date)

Name: Mark Eldred

Title: Vice President, Base Maintenance



This page intentionally left blank



Introduction

On March 17, 2000, the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) issued an initial Major Facility Review Permit (Title V Operating Permit) to the United Airlines, Inc. (United) San Francisco Maintenance Center (SFMC). The major activity at the SFMC is commercial aircraft maintenance for United's aircraft fleet. United submitted a Title V Operating Permit renewal application on August 31, 2004. The BAAQMD issued the renewed Title V Operating Permit effective July 22, 2011. On April 2, 2015, the BAAQMD approved an administrative amendment to update the responsible official for the Title V Operating permit and issued an updated Title V Operating Permit dated April 2, 2015. United submitted a Title V Operating Permit renewal application to the BAAQMD on January 21, 2016. The BAAQMD has deemed the application complete and is currently under agency review.

Report Discussion

United is submitting this semiannual monitoring report pursuant to Standard Condition F of the SFMC's Title V Operating Permit issued April 2, 2015 and pursuant to BAAQMD Regulation 2, Rule 6, Section 502.

The format of this report is based on the tables found in Section VII – "Applicable Limits and Compliance Monitoring Requirements" of United's current Title V Operating Permit. Each source or group of sources is identified along with its applicable emission limit(s), specific permit condition(s) and monitoring requirement(s). A column was added to these tables to indicate compliance or non-compliance with the applicable monitoring requirements associated with the individual sources. In the case of identified non-compliance, or if a specific line item is not applicable (i.e., the source no longer exists), a brief explanation is provided after each table or in the first section of this report.

Copies of all monitoring records are available for inspection at United's Environmental Affairs (EA) office located at the SFMC.

Source Additions, Modifications or Deletions

This section provides a summary of source activities that have occurred at the SFMC since United's last submission of its semi-annual report to the BAAQMD on September 25, 2017. This summary is limited to source activities relevant to the Title V Operating Permit, including matters such as new operating permits, authorities to construct and/or the removal of permitted sources.

United did not apply for nor received any Authorities to Construct or Permits to Operate during this reporting period.

Compliance Issues

This section provides a summary of enforcement-related activities taken by the BAAQMD, including Notices of Violations, Episode Reporting, Variances/Enforcement Actions, Abatement Orders, Penalty Assessments and other similar actions that have occurred within the reporting period.

Notices of Violation

United did not receive any notices of violation (NOVs) during this reporting period.



Reportable Compliance Activity Notifications

No reportable compliance activity (RCA) notifications were submitted and no reportable episodes occurred during this reporting period.

Title V Deviation Reporting

Since United did not experience any compliance deviations with its Title V operating permit during this reporting period, no deviation reports were submitted.

Other Non-Compliance Issues Not Previously Reported

No other deviations or occurrences of non-compliance with applicable air quality regulations not previously reported occurred during this reporting period.

Variance Applications and Enforcement Agreements

United did not file any variance applications or enter into any enforcement agreements during this reporting period.

Penalty Assessments for Air Quality-Related Matters

United did not receive any penalty assessments nor did United enter into any enforcement agreements during this reporting period.

Compliance Monitoring Tables

The following abbreviations are used in the tables provided in this report:

FE – Federally enforceable; Y = Yes, N = No

Monitoring Codes:

C -	Continuous	D -	Daily	A -	Annual
E -	Per Event	M -	Monthly		
N -	Not Required	P -	Periodic		
Q -	Quarterly	W -	Weekly		
NA -	Not Applicable				

The 'Monitoring Type or Compl. Method or Compliance Determination' column is used to convey the method by which compliance or non-compliance is determined.

A **Yes** answer in the "Continuous Compliance?" column indicates that the source was in compliance at all times during the reporting period. A **No** answer indicates that the source was in non-compliance at some time during the reporting period, but is not indicative of continuous non-compliance. Furthermore, an indication of non-compliance with any requirement does not necessarily mean that the source is non-compliant at the time this report was prepared or submitted.



Table VII – A

Applicable Limits and Compliance Monitoring Requirements

S1, S9, S10, S57, S64, S78, S80, S105, S112, S128, S140: SOLVENT CLEANING OPERATIONS

S56: SPRAY CLEANING – PRECLEAN ROOM

S258: OIL COOLER FLUSH CART

S284: OIL COOLER FLUSH CART

S288, S289, S290: RECYCLING PARTS WASHERS

S291, S292, S293: PARTS WASHERS*

S328, S329, S330, S331: PARTS CLEANERS

Type of limit	Emission Limit Citation	FE Y/N	Emission Limit	Monitoring Requirement Citation	Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring Type or Compl. Method	Continuous Compliance?
HAP	None	Y	None	40 CFR 63.752(b)(1)	P/E	Recordkeeping	Yes
VOC for S1, S9, S10, S57, S64, S78, S80, S105, S112, S128, S140	Condition #9044, Part 1	Y	32,000 gallons/yr mineral spirits, net usage	Condition #9044, Part 2	P/Q	Recordkeeping	Yes
Solvent usage for S258	Condition #8016, Part 1	Y	100 gal/yr	Condition #8016, Part 2	P/M	Recordkeeping	Yes
Solvent usage for S284	Condition #18250, Part 1	Y	50 gal/yr	Condition #18250, Part 2	P/M	Recordkeeping	Yes
Solvent usage for S288, S289, S290	Condition #18484, Part 1	Y	30 gal/yr	Condition #18484, Part 2	P/M	Recordkeeping	Yes
Solvent usage for S291, S292, S293	Condition #18260, Part 1	Y	120 gal/yr	Condition #18260, Part 2	P/M	Recordkeeping	Yes
Solvent usage for S328, S329	Condition #23500, Part 1	Y	100 gal/yr	Condition #23500, Part 2	P/M	Recordkeeping	Yes
Solvent usage for S330	Condition #23707, Part 1	Y	50 gal/yr	Condition #23707, Part 2	P/M	Recordkeeping	Yes
Solvent usage for S331	Condition #23737, Part 1	Y	100 gal/yr	Condition #23737, Part 2	P/M	Recordkeeping	Yes

* Units S-291, S-292 and S-293 were permanently removed from service on April 31, 2014. Their respective PTOs were cancelled in October 2015.



Table VII – B
Applicable Limits and Compliance Monitoring Requirements
S16, S17, S18, S19, S20, S21, S22, S23: CHROME PLATING OPERATIONS

Type of limit	Emission Limit Citation	FE* Y/N	Emission Limit	Monitoring Requirement Citation	Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring Type or Comp. Method	Continuous Compliance?
Hexavalent Chrome	Reg 11-8; 93102.4(b)(1) ¹ Condition #23542, Part 1a	N	≤0.0015 mg/amp-hr	Reg 11-8; 93102.9(b) and 93102.12(c)(2) Condition #23542, Parts 6b and 6c	C	Pressure Differential	Yes
Amp-hours	Condition #23542, Part 1b	N	60 million amp-hrs/yr (combined usage)	Reg 11-8; 93102.9(a) & 93102.12(c)(1) Condition #23542, Parts 6a and 9(b)(i)	C	Recording Amp-hr Meters	Yes
Dry Scrubber Pressure Drop	Reg 11-8; 93102.9(b)(2) Condition #23542, Parts 6b and 6c	N	Acceptable differential pressure range across each abatement device: (in. H ₂ O) A-216, A-217, A-218, A-219, A-220, A-221, A-222, A-223: ±2 inches of water column of the value established by most recent source test A-416, A-418, A-420, A-422: Minus ½ times to plus 2 times the inches of water column of the	Reg 11-8; Section 93102.12(c)(2) Condition #23542, Parts 6b and 6c	P/W	Pressure Differential	Yes

¹ California Code of Regulations, Title 17, section 93102, hereinafter referred to as 93102. BAAQMD Regulation 11-8 incorporates 93102 by reference.



Table VII – B

**Applicable Limits and Compliance Monitoring Requirements
S16, S17, S18, S19, S20, S21, S22, S23: CHROME PLATING OPERATIONS**

Type of limit	Emission Limit Citation	FE* Y/N	Emission Limit	Monitoring Requirement Citation	Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring Type or Compl. Method	Continuous Compliance?
			value established during the most recent source test				

Table VII – C

**Applicable Limits and Compliance Monitoring Requirements
S61, S123, S126, S146: AEROSPACE PAINT SPRAY BOOTHS
S97, S98, S99, S100, S101, S102, S103, S104: AIRCRAFT PAINTING DOCKS*
S275: PAINT SPRAY BOOTH
S280: PAINT SPRAY BOOTH**
S327: AIRCRAFT GENERATOR REPAIR STATION
S400: FACILITY-WIDE NON-BOOTH AEROSPACE COATING OPERATIONS***

Type of limit	Emission Limit Citation	FE Y/N	Emission Limit	Monitoring Requirement Citation	Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring Type or Compl. Method	Continuous Compliance?
VOC	Regulation 8-29-302.1	Y	Primer: 350 g/l (2.9 lb/gal)	Regulation 8-29-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
	Regulation 8-29-302.2	Y	Adhesive Bonding Primer: 850 g/l (7.1 lb/gal)	Regulation 8-29-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
	Regulation 8-29-302.3	Y	Interior Topcoat: 340 g/l (2.8 lb/gal)	Regulation 8-29-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
	Regulation 8-29-302.4	Y	Electric or Radiation Effect Coating: 800 g/l (6.7 lb/gal)	Regulation 8-29-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
	Regulation 8-29-302.5	Y	Extreme Performance Interior Topcoat: 420 g/l (3.5 lb/gal)	Regulation 8-29-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
	Regulation 8-29-302.6	Y	Fire Insulation Coating: 600 g/l (5.0 lb/gal)	Regulation 8-29-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
	Regulation 8-29-302.7	Y	Fuel Tank Coating: 720 g/l (6.0 lb/gal)	Regulation 8-29-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
	Regulation 8-29-302.8	Y	High-Temperature Coating: 720 g/l (6.0 lb/gal)	Regulation 8-29-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes



Table VII – C

Applicable Limits and Compliance Monitoring Requirements

S61, S123, S126, S146: AEROSPACE PAINT SPRAY BOOTHS
S97, S98, S99, S100, S101, S102, S103, S104: AIRCRAFT PAINTING DOCKS*
S275: PAINT SPRAY BOOTH
S280: PAINT SPRAY BOOTH**
S327: AIRCRAFT GENERATOR REPAIR STATION
S400: FACILITY-WIDE NON-BOOTH AEROSPACE COATING OPERATIONS*

Type of limit	Emission Limit Citation	FE Y/N	Emission Limit	Monitoring Requirement Citation	Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring type or Compliance Method	Continuous Compliance?
	Regulation 8-29-302.9	Y	Sealant: 600 g/l (5.0 lb/gal)	Regulation 8-29-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
	Regulation 8-29-302.10	Y	Self-priming Topcoat: 420 g/l (3.5 lb/gal)	Regulation 8-29-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
	Regulation 8-29-302.11	Y	Topcoat: 420 g/l (3.5 lb/gal)	Regulation 8-29-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
	Regulation 8-29-302.12	Y	Pretreatment Wash Primer: 420 g/l (3.5 lb/gal)	Regulation 8-29-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
VOC	Regulation 8-29-302.13	Y	Sealant Bonding Primer: 720 g/l (6.0 lb/gal)	Regulation 8-29-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
	Regulation 8-29-302.14	Y	Temporary Protective Coating: 250 g/l (2.1 lb/gal)	Regulation 8-29-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
	40 CFR 63.745(c)(2)	Y	Primer: 350 g/l (2.9 lb/gal)	40 CFR 63.752(c)(2)	P/M	Recordkeeping	Yes
	40 CFR 63.745(c)(4)	Y	Topcoats: 420 g/l (3.5 lb/gal)	40 CFR 63.752(c)(2)	P/M	Recordkeeping	Yes
Organic HAP	40 CFR 63.745(c)(1)	Y	Primer: 350 g/l (2.9 lb/gal)	40 CFR 63.752(c)(2)	P/M	Recordkeeping	Yes
	40 CFR 63.745(c)(3)	Y	Topcoats: 420 g/l (3.5 lb/gal)	40 CFR 63.752(c)(2)	P/M	Recordkeeping	Yes
Inorganic HAP for S123	40 CFR 63.745(g)(2) (iv)	Y	95% reduction of HAPs	Condition #21946, Part 3	Once per shift	Pressure Differential and Recordkeeping	Yes
POC for S275**	Condition #23499, Part 1	Y	14,780 lb/year	Condition #23499, Part 3	P/M	Recordkeeping	NA
POC for S280**	Condition #24442, Part 1	Y	20 gal/yr primer 20 gal/yr topcoat 40 gal/yr solvent	Condition #24442, Part 2	P/M	Recordkeeping	NA



Table VII – C

Applicable Limits and Compliance Monitoring Requirements

S61, S123, S126, S146: AEROSPACE PAINT SPRAY BOOTHS
S97, S98, S99, S100, S101, S102, S103, S104: AIRCRAFT PAINTING DOCKS*
S275: PAINT SPRAY BOOTH
S280: PAINT SPRAY BOOTH**
S327: AIRCRAFT GENERATOR REPAIR STATION
S400: FACILITY-WIDE NON-BOOTH AEROSPACE COATING OPERATIONS*

Type of limit	Emission Limit Citation	FE Y/N	Emission Limit	Monitoring Requirement Citation	Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring Type or Compl. Method	Continuous Compliance?
POC for S327**	Condition #22985, Part 1	Y	300 gal/yr alcohol 100 gal/yr WD-40 100 gal/yr primer 75 gal/yr topcoat	Condition #22985, Part 2	P/M	Recordkeeping	NA

*On August 15, 2016, the BAAQMD issued a PTO for source S-400 for facility-wide non-booth aerospace coating operations. As a result, sources S-97 through S-104 were archived on August 15, 2016 and replaced by consolidated source S-400. S-400 is not yet listed in United's Major Facility Review Permit. No change in the facility's operation has occurred. United was in continuous compliance with the applicable recordkeeping for S-400.

**S280: As was previously reported to the BAAQMD, this source ceased operation in May 2012. The permit to operate for S280 was cancelled in May 2013. Source S-327 has permanently shutdown and the PTO was cancelled on October 2, 2015. S-275 was permanently shut down and the PTO was cancelled prior to 2013.

Table VII – D

Applicable Limits and Compliance Monitoring Requirements
S87, S88, S89, S90: APU TEST CELLS – ENGINE TEST CELLS

Type of limit	Emission Limit Citation	FE Y/N	Emission Limit	Monitoring Requirement Citation	Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring Type or Compl. Method	Continuous Compliance?
Visible Emissions		N		Condition #16558, Parts 2 and 3	P/E	Visible Emissions Check	Yes
Sulfur content	Regulation 9-1-304	Y	Fuel Sulfur Limit 0.5%	Condition #16558, Parts 1 and 3 Condition #14315, Parts 4 and 6	P/M	Vendor Certification or BAAQMD approved laboratory analysis	Yes
NO _x for S90	Condition #14315, Part 3	Y	NO _x Emissions: ≤90.9 tons during any consecutive 12 month period.	Condition #14315, parts 3 and 6	P/M	Recordkeeping	Yes



Table VII – D

**Applicable Limits and Compliance Monitoring Requirements
S87, S88, S89, S90: APU TEST CELLS – ENGINE TEST CELLS**

Type of limit	Emission Limit Citation	FE Y/N	Emission Limit	Monitoring Requirement Citation	Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring Type or Compliance Method	Continuous Compliance?
Usage for S90	Condition #14315, Part 1	Y	Fuel Usage: ≤764,000 gallons during any consecutive 12 month period.	Condition #14315, part 6	P/M	Recordkeeping	Yes
	Condition #14315, Part 2	Y	Fuel Usage: PW4090 engine ≤344,500 gallons during any consecutive 12 month period.	Condition #14315, part 6	P/M	Recordkeeping	Yes

Table VII – E

**Applicable Limits and Compliance Monitoring Requirements
S92: AIRCRAFT WASHING AREA**

Type of limit	Emission Limit Citation	FE Y/N	Emission Limit	Monitoring Requirement Citation	Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring Type or Compliance Method	Continuous Compliance?
VOC	Regulation 8-4-302.1	N	5 tons/yr (each source)	Regulation 8-4-501	P/A	Recordkeeping	Yes
VOC	SIP Regulation 8-4-302.1	Y	5 tons/yr (each source)	Regulation 8-4-501	P/A	Recordkeeping	Yes

Table VII – F

**Applicable Limits and Compliance Monitoring Requirements
S95, S96: BOILERS***

Type of limit	Emission Limit Citation	FE Y/N	Emission Limit	Monitoring Requirement Citation	Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring Type or Compliance Method	Continuous Compliance?
Sulfur Limit	Regulation 9-1-304	Y	Fuel Sulfur Limit 0.5% (liquid fuels)	Regulation 9-1-501	P/E	Vendor Certification or BAAQMD approved laboratory analysis	Yes



* As the BAAQMD is aware, S95 and S96 were previously operated under the low fuel usage limited exemption of Regulation 9-7-112 as backups to United's Cogen plant, consisting of S-195 (Combustion Turbine) and S-196 (Duct Burner). In March 2012, under Application No. 24102, the District allowed United to convert the boilers from backup to full time operation after United decommissioned its Cogen plant. As a result of losing the low fuel usage limited exemption of Regulation 9-7-112, S95 and S96 became subject to the emissions standards in Regulation 9-7-307.6 within 24 months from when S95 and S96 lost eligibility for the exemption.

Table VII – G
Applicable Limits and Compliance Monitoring Requirements

S110, S191*: VARNISH DIP TANKS, WITH ASSOCIATED ELECTRIC CURING OVENS
S240: MISCELLANEOUS RESIN LAMINATING
S262: ADHESIVE APPLICATION AND STRIPPING OPERATION

Type of limit	Emission Limit Citation	FE Y/N	Emission Limit	Monitoring Requirement Citation	Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring Type or Compl. Method	Continuous Compliance?
VOC	Regulation 8-4-302.1	N	5 tons/yr (each source)	Regulation 8-4-501	P/A	Recordkeeping	Yes
	Regulation 8-4-302.3	Y	≤3.5 lb/gal coating VOC limit (alternative to 5 ton limit)	Regulation 8-4-501	P/A	Recordkeeping	Yes
POC for S262	Condition #9078, Parts 1 and 2	Y	2,020 gallons/yr solvent; 638 gallons/yr adhesive	Condition #9078, Part 3	P/M	Recordkeeping	Yes

* As was previously reported, the S-191 Varnish Dip Tank has been removed from service and the permit to operate has been cancelled.

Table VII – H
Applicable Limits and Compliance Monitoring Requirements
S155, S156, S157: FACILITIES PAINT BOOTHS

Type of limit	Emission Limit Citation	FE Y/N	Emission Limit	Monitoring Requirement Citation	Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring Type or Compl. Method	Continuous Compliance?
VOC	Regulation 8-14-302.2	Y	Air-Dried Coatings: 340 g/l (2.8 lb/gal)	Regulation 8-14-501	P/D	Recordkeeping	Yes
VOC	Regulations 8-14-310.1 to 310.5	Y	Specialty Coatings, air-dried coating: 420 g/l (3.5 lb/gal)	Regulation 8-14-501	P/D	Recordkeeping	Yes
VOC	Regulation 8-19-302.2	Y	Air-Dried Coatings: 340 g/l (2.8 lb/gal)	Regulation 8-19-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes



Table VII – H
Applicable Limits and Compliance Monitoring Requirements
S155, S156, S157: FACILITIES PAINT BOOTHS

Type of limit	Emission Limit Citation	FE Y/N	Emission Limit	Monitoring Requirement Citation	Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring Type or Compl. Method	Continuous Compliance?
	Regulations 8-19-312.1 to 312.13	Y	Specialty Coatings, Air-dried coating limits: 420 g/l or 3.5 lb/gal	Regulation 8-19-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
VOC	Regulation 8-32-302	N	General Wood Prod.: 120 -350 g/l (1.0 - 2.9 lb/gal)	Regulation 8-32-501	P/D	Recordkeeping	Yes
	Regulation 8-32-303	N	Wood Furniture: 120 – 550 g/l (1.0 – 4.6 lb/gal)	Regulation 8-32-501	P/D	Recordkeeping	Yes
	Regulation 8-32-304	N	Custom Furniture: 120 – 550 g/l (1.0 – 4.6 lb/gal)	Regulation 8-32-501	P/D	Recordkeeping	Yes
VOC	SIP Regulation 8-32-303.1	Y	General, High Solids, Specific Coating Limits: 240 – 275 g/l (2.0 – 2.3 lb/gal)	SIP Regulation 8-32-501	P/D	Recordkeeping	Yes
	SIP Regulation 8-32-303.2	Y	General, Low Solids coating Limit: 120 g/l (1.0 lb/gal)	SIP Regulation 8-32-501	P/D	Recordkeeping	Yes
	SIP Regulation 8-32-304.1	Y	Furniture, High Solids, Specific Coating Limits: 275 – 420 g/l (2.3 – 3.5 lb/gal)	SIP Regulation 8-32-501	P/D	Recordkeeping	Yes
	SIP Regulation 8-32-304.2	Y	Furniture, Low Solids: 120 g/l (1.0 lb/gal)	SIP Regulation 8-32-501	P/D	Recordkeeping	Yes
VOC	Regulation 8-45-301.3	Y	Adhesion Promoter: 540 g/l or 4.5 lb/gal	Regulation 8-45-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
	Regulation 8-45-301.3	Y	Clear Coating: 250 g/l or 2.1 lb/gal	Regulation 8-45-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
	Regulation 8-45-301.3	Y	Color Coating: 420 g/l or 3.5 lb/gal	Regulation 8-45-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
	Regulation 8-45-301.3	Y	Multi-Color Coating: 680 g/l or 5.7 lb/gal	Regulation 8-45-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
	Regulation 8-45-301.3	Y	Pretreatment Coating: 660 g/l or 5.5 lb/gal	Regulation 8-45-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes



Table VII – H
Applicable Limits and Compliance Monitoring Requirements
S155, S156, S157: FACILITIES PAINT BOOTHS

Type of limit	Emission Limit Citation	FE Y/N	Emission Limit	Monitoring Requirement Citation	Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring Type or Compl. Method	Continuous Compliance?
	Regulation 8-45-301.3	Y	Primer Coating: 250 g/l or 2.1 lb/gal	Regulation 8-45-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
	Regulation 8-45-301.3	Y	Primer Sealer Coating: 250 g/l or 2.1 lb/gal	Regulation 8-45-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
	Regulation 8-45-301.3	Y	Single-Stage Coating: 340 g/l or 2.8 lb/gal	Regulation 8-45-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
	Regulation 8-45-301.3	Y	Temporary Protective Coating: 60 g/l or 0.5 lb/gal	Regulation 8-45-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
	Regulation 8-45-301.3	Y	Truck Bed Liner Coating: 310 g/l or 2.6 lb/gal	Regulation 8-45-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
	Regulation 8-45-301.3	Y	Underbody Coating: 430 g/l or 3.6 lb/gal	Regulation 8-45-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
	Regulation 8-45-301.3	Y	Uniform Finish Coating: 540 g/l or 4.5 lb/gal	Regulation 8-45-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
	Regulation 8-45-301.3	Y	Any Other Type of Coating: 250 g/l or 2.1 lb/gal	Regulation 8-45-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
	Regulation 8-45-308.4	Y	Surface Preparation Solvent: general: 72 g/l (0.6 lb/gal) hand held spray: 780 g/l (6.5 lb/gal)	Regulation 8-45-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
Usage	Regulation 8-45-312	Y	Adhesion promoter, uniform finish & multi-color coating not to exceed 5% of all topcoats applied by volume	Regulation 8-45-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
Usage	Regulation 8-45-314	Y	Precoat usage: 25% of waterborne primer sealer	Regulation 8-45-501	P/M	Recordkeeping	Yes
VOC	SIP Regulation 8-45-301.1	Y	Group I Vehicles, Precoat: 600 g/l (5.0 lb/gal)	Regulation 8-45-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes



Table VII – H
Applicable Limits and Compliance Monitoring Requirements
S155, S156, S157: FACILITIES PAINT BOOTHS

Type of limit	Emission Limit Citation	FE Y/N	Emission Limit	Monitoring Requirement Citation	Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring Type or Compl. Method	Continuous Compliance?
VOC	SIP Regulation 8-45-301.2	Y	Group II Vehicles, Precoat: 600 g/l (5.0 lb/gal)	Regulation 8-45-501	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
VOC	Regulation 8-49-301	Y	%VOC (various)	Regulation 8-49-401	P/E	Manufacturer Labeling	Yes

Table VII – I
Applicable Limits and Compliance Monitoring Requirements
S195: COMBUSTION TURBINE
S196: DUCT BURNER

Type of limit	Emission Limit Citation	FE Y/N	Emission Limit	Monitoring Requirement Citation	Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring Type or Compl. Method	Continuous Compliance?
NO _x	Regulation 9-9-301.1.3	Y	9 ppmv @ 15% O ₂ (dry) 3-hr average	Regulation 9-9-501	C	Continuous Emission Monitor System (CEM)	NA
	40 CFR 60.332(a)(2)	Y	90 ppmv @ 15% O ₂ (dry)	40 CFR 60.334(b)	C	CEM	NA
	Condition #23670, Part 4	Y	9 ppmv @ 15% O ₂ (dry)	Condition #23670, Part 11	C	CEM	NA
	Condition #23670, Part 7	Y	16 ppmv @ 15% O ₂ (dry)	Condition #23670, Part 11	C	CEM	NA
	Condition #23670 Part 8	Y	S-195, S-196 Combined Daily Emissions Limit: 365 lb/day (natural gas), 391 lb/day (jet fuel)	Condition #23670, Part 11	C	Continuous Emission Monitor System (CEM)	NA
Sulfur Content	Regulation 9-1-304	Y	Fuel Sulfur Content 0.5% (liquid fuels)	Condition #23670 Parts 6 and 9	P/E	Liquid fuel usage records, vendor fuel certification or laboratory analysis	NA

² Units S-195 and S-196 are currently listed as permitted emission units under the SFMC's Title V Operating Permit #A0015. As the BAAQMD is aware, the facility stopped operating the combustion turbine, including the CEM, and the duct burner in January 2012. The equipment has not been operated since that time. In May 2013, United requested cancellation of the permit to operate for S-195 and S-196. The CEM is the prescribed monitoring requirement for NO_x and CO emissions for these units. However, because these units were not in operation during this reporting period, there were no NO_x or CO emissions for the CEM to measure during this reporting period.



Table VII – I
Applicable Limits and Compliance Monitoring Requirements
S195: COMBUSTION TURBINE
S196: DUCT BURNER

Type of limit	Emission Limit Citation	FE Y/N	Emission Limit	Monitoring Requirement Citation	Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring Type or Compl. Method	Continuous Compliance? ²
	40 CFR 60.333(b)	Y	0.8% (wt) fuel sulfur content	40 CFR 60.334(b)	P/E	Sulfur content of fuel	NA
	BAAQMD Condition #23670 Part 9	Y	Fuel Requirement: natural gas or jet A fuel with fuel sulfur content $\leq 0.12\%$ (wt)	Condition #23670 Part 9,	P/E	Liquid fuel usage records, vendor fuel certification or laboratory analysis	NA
CO	Condition #23670 Part 10	Y	500 lb/day or $\geq 80\%$ reduction efficiency	Condition #23670 Part 11,	C	CEM	NA
Usage	Condition #23670 Part 6	Y	Jet Fuel Usage: $\leq 2,495$ hrs/yr	Condition #23670 Part 13,	P/E	Record of Hours of Operation on Jet Fuel	NA

Table VII – J
Applicable Limits and Compliance Monitoring Requirements
S198: WIPE CLEANING

Type of limit	Emission Limit Citation	FE Y/N	Emission Limit	Monitoring Requirement Citation	Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring Type or Compl. Method	Continuous Compliance?
VOC	40 CFR 63.744(b)(2)	Y	Composite Vapor Pressure: ≤ 45 mmHg @ 68 degrees F	40 CFR 63.752(b)(3)	P/M	Recordkeeping	NA

All cleaning solvents used at the facility are compliant with 40 CFR Section 63.744(b)(2) requirements.

Table VII – K
Applicable Limits and Compliance Monitoring Requirements
S244: DISSOLVED AIR FLOTATION UNIT

Type of limit	Emission Limit Citation	FE Y/N	Emission Limit	Monitoring Requirement Citation	Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring Type or Compl. Method	Continuous Compliance?
Rate	Condition #5696, Part 2	Y	Wastewater Treatment Rate: ≤ 700 gal/min	None	D	Recordkeeping	Yes
VOC	Condition	Y	Annual Wastewater	Condition	P/D	Recordkeeping	Yes



Type of limit	Emission Limit Citation	FE Y/N	Emission Limit	Monitoring Requirement Citation	Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring Type or Comp. Method	Continuous Compliance?
	#5696, Part 3		Throughput: $\leq 200,000,000$ gal.	#5696, Part 4			
	Regulation 8-8-307	Y	Inspection of gaps	Regulation 8-8-503	P/Semi-Annual	Inspection for Gaps/ Recordkeeping	Yes

Table VII – L
Applicable Limits and Compliance Monitoring Requirements

S285: NON-RETAIL GASOLINE DISPENSING FACILITY (GDF #916)

Type of limit	Emission Limit Citation	FE Y/N	Emission Limit	Monitoring Requirement Citation	Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring Type or Comp. Method	Continuous Compliance?
Gasoline Through-put	Condition #18349	N	500,000 gallons per 12-month period	Regulation 8-7-503.1	P/A	Recordkeeping	Yes
Gasoline Through-put (Exempt from Phase I)	Regulation 8-7-114	Y	1000 gallons per facility for tank integrity leak checking	Regulation 8-7-501 and 8-7-503.1	P/E	Recordkeeping	Yes
Organic Compounds	Regulation 8-7-301.2	Y	All Phase I Equipment shall Meet the Emission Limitations of the Applicable CARB Certification	None	N	Use CARB Certified System	Yes
Organic Compounds	Regulation 8-7-301.6	Y	All Phase I Equipment shall be leak free (<3 drops/minute) & vapor tight	Condition #16516	P/A	Annual check for vapor tight-ness and proper operation of vapor recovery system (VRS)	Yes
Organic Compounds	Regulation 8-7-302.5	Y	All Phase II Equipment shall be leak free (<3 drops/minute) & vapor tight	Condition #16516	P/A	Annual check for vapor tight-ness and proper operation of VRS	Yes
Organic Compounds	Condition #18135, Part 3 ⁴	Y	Any emergency vent or manway shall be leak free	Condition #16516	P/A	Annual check for vapor tight-ness and proper operation of VRS	Yes
Defective Component Repair Time Limit	Regulation 8-7-302.4	Y	Must be repaired or replaced within 7 days	Regulation 8-7-503.2	N	Recordkeeping	Yes
Liquid Removal Rate	Regulation 8-7-302.8	Y	≥ 5 ml per gallon dispensed, when dispensing rate > 5 gallons/min.	None	N	Use CARB Certified System	Yes



Type of limit	Emission Limit Citation	FE Y/N	Emission Limit	Monitoring Requirement Citation	Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring Type or Compl. Method	Continuous Compliance?
Liquid Retain from Nozzles	Regulation and SIP 8-7-302.12	Y	≤100 ml per 1000 gallons dispensed	None	N	Use CARB Certified System	Yes
Nozzle Spitting	BAAQMD Regulation and SIP 8-7-302.13	Y	≤1.0 ml per nozzle per test	None	N	Use CARB Certified System	Yes
Pressure-Vacuum Valve	Regulation 8-7-316	Y	Pressure Setting: Less than 2.5 in w.c.	Regulation 8-7-316	N	P/V valve setting	Yes

⁴ The Title V Operating Permit Table VII-L references Condition #18135, Part 3 (Type of Limit - Organic Compounds). However, Condition #18135 is no longer in United's current Permit. Condition #18135 appeared in the previous version of the facility's Title V Operating Permit (which was issued in 2003) and enumerated the requirement for Static Pressure Testing, along with other requirements. Such requirements are now listed in Condition #25107 of the facility's annual renewal permits to operate.


Table VII – M
Applicable Limits and Compliance Monitoring Requirements

S295, S296, S297, S300, S301, S315, S326, S333:
EMERGENCY STANDBY ENGINES (DIESEL)

S302: EMERGENCY STANDBY ENGINE (PROPANE)

Type of limit	Emission Limit Citation	FE Y/N	Emission Limit	Monitoring Requirement Citation ³	Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring Type or Compl. Method	Continuous Compliance?
Fuel Sulfur Content	Regulation 9-1-304	Y	≤ 0.5% by weight	None	P/E	Vendor fuel certification or BAAQMD-approved laboratory analysis	Yes
Hours of Operation	Regulation 9-8-330.2	N	≤100 hours each per calendar year for reliability testing	Regulation 9-8-530	C	Totalizing meter for hours of operation	Yes
				Regulation 9-8-502.1	P/M	Records	Yes
Hours of Operation	Regulation 9-8-330.3	N	≤50 hours each per calendar year for reliability testing	Regulation 9-8-530	C	Totalizing meter for hours of operation	Yes
				Regulation 9-8-502.1	P/M	Records	Yes

³ The citations in Table VII – M to Section 93115.10(e)(1) and Section 93115.10(g) of the Stationary Compression Ignition Engine ATCM are based on the 2007 version of the regulation. The citations for the same monitoring requirements in the 2011 version of the ATCM are Section 93115.10(d)(1) and 93115.10(f), respectively. The facility is in compliance with the applicable monitoring requirements.



Type of limit	Emission Limit Citation	FE Y/N	Emission Limit	Monitoring Requirement Citation ³	Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring Type or Compl. Method	Continuous Compliance?
Hours of Operation for S295, S296, S297, S300, S301, S315	Condition #22820, Part 1	Y	≤ 20 hours/year for reliability-related activities	Condition #22820, Part 3 Part 4	C PM	Totalizing meter for hours of operation and records	Yes
Hours of Operation for S295, S296, S297, S300, S310, S315	93115.6(b)(3)(A)(1)(a)	N	≤ 20 hours/year for reliability-related activities	93115.10(e)(1)	C	Totalizing meter for hours of operation	Yes
				93115.10(g)	P/M	Records	Yes
Hours of Operation for S326, S333	Condition 22850, Part 1	Y	≤ 50 hours/year for reliability-related activities	Condition 22850, Part 3	C	Totalizing meter for hours of operation and records	Yes
				Condition 22850, Part 4	P/M	Records	Yes
Hours of Operation for S326, S333	93115.6(a)(3)(A)(1)(a)	N	≤ 50 hours/year for reliability-related activities	93115.10(e)(1)	C	Totalizing meter for hours of operation	Yes
				93115.10(g)	P/M	Records	Yes

Table VII – N
Applicable Limits and Compliance Monitoring Requirements

S304, S305, S306, S307, S308, S309, S310, S311, S312, S313, S314:
EMERGENCY STANDBY ENGINES, FIRE PUMP ENGINES

Type of limit	Emission Limit Citation	FE Y/N	Emission Limit	Monitoring Requirement Citation ⁴	Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring Type or Compl. Method	Continuous Compliance?
Fuel Sulfur Content	Regulation 9-1-304	Y	≤ 0.5% by weight	None	P/E	Vendor fuel certification or BAAQMD-approved laboratory analysis	Yes
Hours of	Regulation	N	≤ 100 hours each	Regulation	C	Totalizing	Yes

⁴ The citations in Table VII – N to Section 93115.10(e)(1) and Section 93115.10(g) of the Stationary Compression Ignition Engine ATCM are based on the 2007 version of the regulation. The citations for the same monitoring requirements in the 2011 version of the ATCM are Section 93115.10(d)(1) and 93115.10(f) respectively. The facility is in compliance with the applicable monitoring requirements.



Table VII – N
Applicable Limits and Compliance Monitoring Requirements
S304, S305, S306, S307, S308, S309, S310, S311, S312, S313, S314:
EMERGENCY STANDBY ENGINES, FIRE PUMP ENGINES

Type of limit	Emission Limit Citation	FE Y/N	Emission Limit	Monitoring Requirement Citation ⁴	Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring Type or Compl. Method	Continuous Compliance?
Operation	9-8-330.2		per calendar year for reliability testing	9-8-530		meter for hours of operation	
				Regulation 9-8-502.1	P/M	Records	Yes
Hours of Operation	Regulation 9-8-330.3	N	≤50 hours each per calendar year for reliability testing	Regulation 9-8-530	C	Totalizing meter for hours of operation	Yes
				Regulation 9-8-502.1	P/M	Records	Yes
Hours of Operation	93115.6(a)(4)(A)(1)(b)	N	≤ 34 hours/year for reliability-related activities	93115.10(e)(1)	C	Totalizing meter for hours of operation	Yes
				93115.10(g)	P/M	Records	Yes
Hours of Operation	Condition 22851, Part 1	Y	≤ 34 hours/year for reliability-related activities	Condition 22851, Part 3	C	Totalizing meter for hours of operation and records	Yes
				Condition 22851, Part 4	P/M	Records	Yes

Table VII – O
Applicable Limits and Compliance Monitoring Requirements
S316 through S323: THERMAL SPRAY BOOTHS

Type of limit	Emission Limit Citation	FE Y/N	Emission Limit	Monitoring Requirement Citation	Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring Type or Compl. Method	Continuous Compliance?
Pressure Differential	93101.5 (e)(2)	N	Pressure drop must be maintained per manufacturer's specifications	93101.5(f)(1)	P/W	Recordkeeping	Yes
Usage	Condition #23504, Part 1	N	54,400 pounds of material containing nickel or chromium per year	Condition #23504, Part 8	P/M	Recordkeeping	Yes
Enclosure Standards	Condition #23504, Part 4	N	Average inward face velocity at least 200 fpm.	Section 93101.5 (e)(4) Condition #23504, Part 8	P/A	Velocity Measurement	Yes



Table VII – O
Applicable Limits and Compliance Monitoring Requirements
S316 through S323: THERMAL SPRAY BOOTHS

Type of limit	Emission Limit Citation	FE Y/N	Emission Limit	Monitoring Requirement Citation	Monitoring Frequency	Monitoring Type or Compliance Method	Continuous Compliance?
Enclosure Standards	Condition #23504, Part 4	N	Booth room air exchange door open \geq 38 seconds after spray ceases.	Section 93101.5 (c)(1) (B)(4) Condition #23504, part 8	P	Timer	Yes
Dry Filtration (Baghouse) Pressure Drop	Condition #23504, Part 6	N	Range: 0.3" to 4.5" in H ₂ O differential pressure across dry filter systems	Condition #23504, part 7,8	P/W	Differential Pressure/ Recordkeeping	Yes
HEPA Pressure Drop	Condition #23504, Part 6	N	Range: 1" to 4" in H ₂ O differential pressure across HEPA systems	Condition #23504, part 7,8	P/W	Differential Pressure/ Recordkeeping	Yes

**THIS MARKS THE CONCLUSION OF
THIS SEMIANNUAL MONITORING REPORT.**




**UNITED AIRLINES, INC.
SAN FRANCISCO MAINTENANCE CENTER**

**Semiannual Aerospace NESHAP
Compliance Status Report**

September 1, 2017 to February 28, 2018

BAAQMD Facility # A0051



Prepared by:

**United Airlines, Inc.
Environmental Affairs
San Francisco, California**

March 15, 2018



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Semiannual Compliance Status Notification Report	1
1.1	General Information	1
1.2	Certification	1
1.3	Cleaning Operations	2
1.4	Primer And Topcoat Application	3
1.5	Depainting Operations (Not Applicable).....	5
1.6	Chemical Milling Maskant Application Operations (Not Applicable)	6
1.7	Recordkeeping Requirements	6
1.8	Changes In Information Already Provided	6
1.9	Additional Comments	6
2.0	DISCUSSION.....	14
2.1	Cleaning Operations: Housekeeping Measures	14
2.2	Hand-Wipe Cleaning Operations	15
2.3	Spray Gun Cleaning Operations	17
2.3	Flush Cleaning Operations	18
2.4	Primer and Topcoat Application Operations: Inorganic HAP Emissions	19
2.5	Primer and Topcoat Application Operations: Organic HAP/VOC Content	21
2.7	Depainting Operations (Not Applicable).....	23
2.8	Chemical Milling Maskant Operations (Not Applicable).....	23

List of Tables

Table 1-1	Emission Standards	8
Table 1-2	Monitoring and Recordkeeping	11
Table 2-1	UAL Hand-Wipe Cleaning Solvents Summary.....	21
Table 2-2	Booths Subject to Inorganic HAP Requirements	21
Table 2-3	United Coating Operations Subject to Organic HAP/VOC Standard	22



This page intentionally left blank



APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS

- ☒ flush cleaning requirements under §63.744(d)
- ☒ hand-wipe cleaning requirements under §63.744(b)
- ☒ spray gun cleaning requirements under §63.744(c)
- ☒ organic primer and topcoat requirements under §63.745
- ☒ inorganic primer and topcoat requirements under §63.745
- ☐ repainting requirements under §63.746
- ☐ chemical milling maskant operations under §63.747
- ☒ recordkeeping under §63.10(b)

FACILITY HAS COMPLIED

- ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
- ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
- ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Signature, Responsible Official

Mark Eldred,
Vice President - Base Maintenance

18 MARCH 2018
Date

1.3 Cleaning Operations

A. Hand-Wipe Cleaning

1. Have you used non-compliant cleaning solvents for a non-exempt hand-wipe cleaning operation during the reporting period? ☐ Yes ☒ No (if no, go to A.4.) [40 CFR § 63.753(b)(1)(i)]
2. If you answered yes, please provide the following information for each instance where you used a non-compliant cleaning solvent for a non-exempt hand-wipe cleaning operation (for additional entries, please use Continuation Sheet 1.3.A.2.) Not applicable.
3. (OPTIONAL) If you reported deficiencies in A.2. above, please describe the corrective action(s) you took to address them and prevent recurrence, to include time frames involved and results achieved: Not applicable.
4. Have you used any new hand-wipe cleaning solvents during the reporting period? ☐ Yes ☒ No (if no, go to B.1.) [40 CFR § 63.753(b)(1)(ii)]
5. If you answered yes, please provide the following information for each new cleaning solvent used: (for additional entries, please use Continuation Sheet 1.3.A.5.) Not applicable.

B. Spray Gun Cleaning

1. Did your facility use a non-compliant (i.e., other than enclosed, non-atomized, disassembled, or atomized) spray gun cleaning method during the reporting period? ☐ Yes ☒ No (if no, go to B.3.) [40 CFR § 63.753(b)(1)(iii)]
2. If you answered yes, please describe the non-compliant cleaning method you used: Not applicable.
3. Did your facility have any instance where a leaking **enclosed** spray gun cleaner remained unrepaired and in use for more than 15 days during the reporting period? ☐ Yes ☒ No (if no, go to Section 1.4) [40 CFR § 63.753(b)(1)(iv)]
4. If you answered yes, please provide the following information for each instance where you used a leaking enclosed spray gun cleaner for more than 15 days: (for additional entries, please use Continuation Sheet 1.3.B.4.) Not applicable.



1.0 Semiannual Compliance Status Notification Report

Applicable Rule: 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart GG — National Emission Standards for Aerospace Manufacturing and Rework Facilities. Semiannual notification is being made in accordance with 40 CFR §§ 63.753(b)(1), (c)(1), (d)(1), and/or (e).

1.1 General Information

A. Print or type the following information for each facility in which aerospace manufacturing and rework operations are performed (40 CFR §§ 63.9(b)(2)(i)-(ii)):

Operating Permit Number (OPTIONAL)		Facility I.D. Number (OPTIONAL)	
		A0051	
Owner/Operator/Title			
United Airlines, Inc. – San Francisco Maintenance Center			
Street Address			
San Francisco International Airport			
City	State	ZIP Code	
San Francisco	CA	94128	
Facility Contact		Title	Phone (OPTIONAL)
David Weintraub		Staff Associate, Northern CA	650-634-4572

B. Check which affected source(s), as defined by 40 CFR § 63.741(c), were in operation at your facility during the semiannual reporting period:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hand-wipe cleaning (Section 1.3, A) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Primer and topcoat application (Section 1.4) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Flush cleaning (not covered) | <input type="checkbox"/> Depainting operations (Section 1.5)* |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spray gun cleaning (Section 1.3, B) | <input type="checkbox"/> Chemical milling maskant applications (Section 1.6) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Waste storage and handling (no reporting required) | |

* Please see the discussion in Section 1.5 of this report.

C. Certification period is from **September 1, 2017 to February 28, 2018.**

1.2 Certification

Based upon information and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry, I, as a responsible official of the above-mentioned facility, certify the information contained in this report is accurate [40 CFR § 63.9(h)(2)(i)(G)]. The above-mentioned facility has complied with applicable requirements in 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart GG during the semiannual reporting period as indicated below (check all that apply) [40 CFR §§ 63.753(b)(1)(v), (c)(1)(vii), (d)(1)(ix), and (e)(6)].



5. (OPTIONAL) If you reported deficiencies in B.4. above, please describe the corrective action(s) you took to address them and prevent recurrence, to include time frames involved and results achieved:
Not applicable.

1.4 Primer and Topcoat Application

A. Uncontrolled primer and topcoats

1. Did your facility have any instance where primer or topcoat compliance was uncontrolled (e.g. you did not use averaging or a control device) during the reporting period? ☒ Yes ☐ No (if no, go to B.1.) [40 CFR § 63.753(c)(1)(i)]
2. If you answered yes, did primer or topcoat values for either H_i (the mass of organic HAP emitted per unit volume of coating as applied, less water) or G_i (the mass of VOC emitted per unit volume of coating as applied, less water and exempt solvents) ever exceed the applicable organic HAP or VOC content limit specified in 40 CFR § 63.745(c)? ☐ Yes ☒ No (if no, go to B.1.) [40 CFR § 63.753(c)(1)(i)]
3. If you answered yes, please provide the following information for each coating formulation within each coating category that exceeds the applicable limits in 40 CFR § 63.745(c) [40 CFR § 63.752(c)(2)(i), 40 CFR § 63.753(c)(1)(i)]: (for additional entries, please use Continuation Sheet 1.4.A.3.) Not applicable.
4. (OPTIONAL) If you reported deficiencies in A.3. above, please describe the corrective action(s) you took to address them and prevent recurrence, to include time frames involved and results achieved:
Not applicable.

B. Averaged primer and topcoats

1. Did your facility have any instance where primer or topcoat compliance was achieved through the use of averaging during the reporting period? (Averaging is allowed only for uncontrolled primers or topcoats; averaging primers together with topcoats is prohibited. Each averaging scheme shall be approved in advance by the permitting agency and be adopted as part of the facility's Title V permit. (40 CFR § 63.745(e)(2))). ☐ Yes ☒ No (if no, go to C.1.) [40 CFR § 63.753(c)(1)(ii)]
2. If you answered yes, did primer or topcoat values for either H_a (the monthly volume-weighted average mass of organic HAP emitted per unit volume of coating as applied, less water) or G_a (the monthly volume-weighted average mass of VOC emitted per unit volume of coating as applied, less water and exempt solvents) for all coatings ever exceed the applicable organic HAP or VOC content limit specified in 40 CFR § 63.745(c)? ☐ Yes ☐ No (if no, go to C.1.) [40 CFR § 63.753(c)(1)(ii)] Not applicable.
3. If you answered yes, please provide the following information for all coatings within each coating category that exceeds the applicable limits in 40 CFR § 63.745(c) [40 CFR §§ 63.752(c)(4)(i), 63.753(c)(1)(ii)]: (for additional entries, please use Continuation Sheet 1.4.B.3.) Not applicable.
4. (OPTIONAL) If you reported deficiencies in B.3. above, please describe the corrective action(s) you took to address them and prevent recurrence, to include time frames involved and results achieved:
Not applicable.

C. Controlled primer and topcoats using incineration

1. Did your facility have any instance where primer or topcoat compliance was achieved through the use of incinerators during the reporting period? ☐ Yes ☒ No (if no, go to D.1.) [40 CFR § 63.753(c)(1)(iii)]



Semiannual Aerospace NESHAP
Compliance Status Report
September 1, 2017 to February 28, 2018

2. If you answered yes, were there any instances when the 3-hour average combustion temperature(s) were less than the minimum average combustion temperature(s) established under 40 CFR § 63.751(b)(11) or (12) during the most recent performance test during which compliance was demonstrated? ☐ Yes ☐ No (*if no, go to D.1.*) [40 CFR §§ 63.753(c)(1)(iii), 63.751(b)(11) - (12)] Not applicable.
3. If you answered yes, please provide the following information for each period when the 3-hour average combustion temperature was less than established values: (*for additional entries, please use Continuation Sheet 1.4.C.3.*) Not applicable.
4. (OPTIONAL) If you reported deficiencies in C.3. above, please describe the corrective action(s) you took to address them and prevent recurrence, to include time frames involved and results achieved: Not applicable.

D. Controlled primer and topcoats using carbon adsorption

1. Did your facility have any instance where primer or topcoat compliance was achieved through the use of carbon adsorption during the reporting period? ☐ Yes ☒ No (*if no, go to D.5.*) [40 CFR § 63.753(c)(1)(iv)]
2. If you answered yes, were there any rolling periods when the overall efficiency of the carbon adsorber was calculated to be less than 81%? ☐ Yes ☐ No (*if no, go to D.5.*) [40 CFR § 63.753(c)(1)(iv)(A)] Not applicable.
3. If you answered yes, please provide the following for each rolling period when the overall control efficiency of your carbon adsorber was calculated less than 81%. Include as an attachment to this report the initial material balance calculation and any calculations that demonstrate exceedances [40 CFR § 63.753(c)(1)(iv)(A)]: (*for additional entries, please use Continuation Sheet 1.4.D.3.*) Not applicable.
4. (OPTIONAL) If you reported deficiencies in D.3. above, please describe the corrective action(s) you took to address them and prevent recurrence, to include time frames involved and results achieved: Not applicable.
5. Did your facility use nonregenerative carbon adsorbers at any time during the reporting period? ☐ Yes ☒ No (*if no, go to E.1.*) [40 CFR § 63.753(c)(1)(iv)(B)]
6. If you answered yes, please attach the following:
 - > the design evaluation
 - > the continuous monitoring system performance report
 - > any excess emissions as demonstrated through deviations of monitored values for each nonregenerative carbon adsorber. [40 CFR § 63.753(c)(1)(iv)(B)]

E. Controlled primer and topcoats using other than incineration or carbon adsorption

1. Did your facility use any control devices other than an incinerator or carbon adsorber at any time during the reporting period (including dry or wet particulate filters)? ☒ Yes ☐ No (*if no, go to E.8.*) [40 CFR § 63.753(c)(1)(v)]
2. If you answered yes, did any of these control devices exceed the operating parameter(s) established under the initial performance test during which compliance was demonstrated?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable (*if no, go to E.5.*) [40 CFR § 63.753(c)(1)(v)]
3. If you answered yes, please provide the following for each exceedance of your control device's operating parameter(s): (*for additional entries, please use Continuation Sheet 1.4.E.3.*) Not applicable.



4. (OPTIONAL) If you reported deficiencies in E.3. above, please describe the corrective action(s) you took to address them and prevent recurrence, to include time frames involved and results achieved: Not applicable.
5. Did your facility have any instance within this semiannual reporting period where a primer or topcoat application operation was not immediately shut down when the pressure drop across a dry particulate filter or HEPA filter system, or the water flow rate through a waterwash system, or recommended parameter(s) through a pumpless system, was outside the limit(s) specified by the filter or booth manufacturer or in locally prepared operating procedures? ☐ Yes ☒ No (if no, go to E.8.) [40 CFR § 63.753(c)(1)(vi)]
6. If you answered yes, please provide the following for each time the booth was not immediately shut down when values were outside limits: Not applicable.
7. (OPTIONAL) If you reported deficiencies in E.6. above, please describe the corrective action(s) you took to address them and prevent recurrence, to include time frames involved and results achieved: Not applicable.

1.5 Depainting Operations (Not Applicable)

On June 1, 2015, United began operation of a new depainting booth that uses a non-chemical based process for depainting aircraft parts, subassemblies and assemblies that are normally removed from the aircraft for depainting. The blast media is food grade corn starch which is captured in a closed loop system. Media that is no longer useful is routed to a baghouse type abatement device. Based on the types of parts, subassemblies and assemblies depainted, the standards for depainting operations in 40 CFR § 63.746 are not applicable, and the depainting operation is not an affected source. 40 CFR §§ 63.741(b) and (c)(8), 63.746(a)(1) and (3). United maintains records of aircraft components depainted at this booth.

A. Depainting, General

1. Did your facility repaint more than six new or discontinued aircraft models during the reporting period?
☐ Yes ☒ No (if no, go to Section 1.6) [40 CFR § 63.753(d)(1)(viii)]
2. If you answered yes, please provide the following parts information for each new and discontinued aircraft models repainted at your facility: Not applicable.
3. (OPTIONAL) If you reported deficiencies in A.2. above, please describe the corrective action(s) you took to address them and prevent recurrence, to include time frames involved and results achieved: Not applicable.
4. Did your facility have any 24-hour periods where organic HAPs were emitted from repainting of the outer surface areas of aerospace vehicles (other than from exempt operations listed in 40 CFR §§ 63.746(a), (b)(3) and (b)(5) during the reporting period? ☐ Yes ☒ No (if no, go to B.1.) [40 CFR §§ 63.753(d)(1)(i), 63.746(a)(1)] Not applicable.

Note: Under A., do not report 24-hour periods where you used a control device to capture emissions under 40 CFR § 63.746(c), this will be reported later in this section.

5. If you answered yes, please provide the following for each 24-hour period where you emitted HAPs. Not applicable.



6. (OPTIONAL) If you reported deficiencies in A.5. above, please describe the corrective action(s) you took to address them and prevent recurrence, to include time frames involved and results achieved:
Not applicable.

1.6 Chemical Milling Maskant Application Operations (Not Applicable)

1.7 Recordkeeping Requirements

- A. Is your facility in compliance with recordkeeping requirements to keep all information (including all reports and notifications) available for inspection for a period of five years, and maintain the most recent two years on site? ☒ Yes ☐ No (if yes, go to Section 1.8) [40 CFR § 63.10(b)(1)]
- B. If you answered no, please indicate the corrective action(s) you are taking to comply with record keeping requirements. Not applicable.

1.8 Changes in Information Already Provided

Have there been any changes in information already provided for your facility since the NOCS or any subsequent report that have not otherwise been listed in this report and that were not reported within 15 days of making the change? ☐ Yes ☒ No [40 CFR § 63.9(j)] (If no, end of form) If you answered yes, please describe the changes below:

1.9 Additional Comments

- A. Do you have additional facility-specific information or comments you would like to present that have not already been addressed elsewhere in the body of this report. ☐ Yes ☒ No (if no, go to end of form.)
- B. If you answered yes, please enter the information or comments below.



This page intentionally left blank



United Airlines, Inc.
San Francisco Maintenance Operations Center
40 CFR 63 Subpart GG – Aerospace NESHAP
Source-Specific Compliance Summary

The following tables contain a list of the sources subject to the Aerospace NESHAP identified in United Airlines, Inc.'s (United's) most recent Title V Operating Permit. The tables contain a summary of applicability and compliance for each source related to the specific Aerospace NESHAP categories, i.e. housekeeping, hand-wipe cleaning, spray gun cleaning, flush cleaning and primer and topcoat application operations. The facility does not perform repainting operations subject to the standards in 40 CFR § 63.746 or chemical milling maskant operations subject to 40 CFR § 63.747.

TABLE 1-1 EMISSION STANDARDS								
40 CFR Part 63, Subpart GG National Emission Standards for Aerospace Manufacturing and Rework Facilities								
	§ 63.744 Standards: Cleaning Operations				§ 63.745 Standards: Primer and Topcoat			
Source	(a) House- keeping	(b) Hand- wipe	(c) Spray Gun Cleaning	(d) Flush Cleaning	(c) Uncontrolled Coatings – Organic HAP and VOC Content	(f) Application Method	(g) Inorganic HAP	Comments
S-1	C	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	NA	Solvent Spray Booth
S-9	C	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	NA	Solvent Spray Booth
S-10	C	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	NA	Solvent Spray Booth
S-56	C	C	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Spray Cleaning
S-57	C	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	NA	Solvent Spray Booth
S-61	C	C	C	NA	C	C	C	Paint Spray Booth
S-64	C	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	NA	Solvent Spray Booth
S-78	C	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	NA	Solvent Spray Booth
S-80	C	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	NA	Solvent Spray Booth
S-97*	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Dock Touch-up Painting (permit was archived)
S-98*	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Dock Touch-up Painting (permit was archived)
S-99*	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Dock Touch-up Painting (permit was archived)



**TABLE 1-1
EMISSION STANDARDS**

40 CFR Part 63, Subpart GG National Emission Standards for Aerospace Manufacturing and Rework Facilities								
Source	§ 63.744 Standards: Cleaning Operations				§ 63.745 Standards: Primer and Topcoat			Comments
	(a) House- keeping	(b) Hand- wipe	(c) Spray Gun Cleaning	(d) Flush Cleaning	(c) Uncontrolled Coatings – Organic HAP and VOC Content	(f) Application Method	(g) Inorganic HAP	
S-100*	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Dock Touch-up Painting (permit was archived on August 15, 2016)
S-101*	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Dock Touch-up Painting (permit was archived on August 15, 2016)
S-102*	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Dock Touch-up Painting (permit was archived on August 15, 2016)
S-103*	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Dock Touch-up Painting (permit was archived on August 15, 2016)
S-104*	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Dock Touch-up Painting (permit was archived on August 15, 2016)
S-105	C	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	NA	Solvent Spray Booth
S-112	C	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	NA	Solvent Spray Booth
S-123	C	C	C	NA	C	C	C	Paint Spray Booth
S-126	C	C	C	NA	C	C	C	Paint Spray Booth
S-128	C	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	NA	Solvent Spray Booth
S-140	C	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	NA	Solvent Spray Booth
S-146	C	C	C	NA	C	C	C	Paint Spray Booth
S-198	C	C	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Facility-wide Solvent Hand-wipe Operations
S-258	C	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	NA	Flush Cart
S-275	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	This source is no longer in operation, and the permit to operate was cancelled on October 2, 2015.
S-280	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	This source is no longer in operation, and the permit to operate was cancelled in May 2013.



**TABLE 1-1
EMISSION STANDARDS**

40 CFR Part 63, Subpart GG National Emission Standards for Aerospace Manufacturing and Rework Facilities							
Source	§ 63.744 Standards: Cleaning Operations				§ 63.745 Standards: Primer and Topcoat		
	(a) House- keeping	(b) Hand- wipe	(c) Spray Gun Cleaning	(d) Flush Cleaning	(c) Uncontrolled Coatings – Organic HAP and VOC Content	(f) Application Method	(g) Inorganic HAP
S-284	C	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	NA
S-288	C	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	NA
S-289	C	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	NA
S-290	C	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	NA
S-291	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
S-292	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
S-293	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
S-327	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
S-328	C	C	NA	NA	C	C	NA
S-329	C	C	NA	NA	C	C	NA
S-330	C	C	NA	NA	C	C	NA
S-331	C	C	NA	NA	C	C	NA

C = Compliant
NC = Non-Compliant
NA = Not Applicable

* Note: In August 2015, United applied for a modification to its permit to operate to consolidate sources S-97 through S-104 (dock sources) into a single source, S-400. On August 15, 2016, BAAQMD issued a permit to operate for S-400 for facility-wide non-booth aerospace coating operations and, as a result, sources S-97 through S-104 were archived. The permit to operate modification does not reflect a change in operations at the facility. S-400 was in compliance with the applicable requirement pursuant to 40 CFR 63.744 (a) and (b), 40 CFR 63.745 (c) and (f).



United Airlines, Inc.
San Francisco Maintenance Operations Center
40 CFR 63 Subpart GG – Aerospace NESHAP
Source-Specific Compliance Summary

TABLE 1-2 MONITORING AND RECORDKEEPING						
Source	40 CFR Part 63, Subpart GG National Emission Standards for Aerospace Manufacturing and Rework Facilities				§ 63.752 Recordkeeping Requirements	
	§ 63.751 Monitoring Requirements					
	(a) Enclosed Spray Gun Cleaners	(c) Dry Particulate Filter – Primer and Topcoats	(b) Cleaning Operations	(c) Primer and Topcoat Application	(d) Inorganic HAP Emissions	Comments
S-1	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	
S-9	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	
S-10	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	
S-56	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	
S-57	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	
S-61	C	C	C	C	C	
S-64	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	
S-78	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	
S-80	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	
S-97*	NA	NA	C	C	NA	Dock Touch-up Painting (permit was archived on August 15, 2016)
S-98*	NA	NA	C	C	NA	Dock Touch-up Painting (permit was archived on August 15, 2016)
S-99*	NA	NA	C	C	NA	Dock Touch-up Painting (permit was archived on August 15, 2016)
S-100*	NA	NA	C	C	NA	Dock Touch-up Painting (permit was archived on August 15, 2016)
S-101*	NA	NA	C	C	NA	Dock Touch-up Painting (permit was archived on August 15, 2016)
S-102*	NA	NA	C	C	NA	Dock Touch-up Painting (permit was archived on August 15, 2016)

TABLE 1-2 MONITORING AND RECORDKEEPING						
40 CFR Part 63, Subpart GG National Emission Standards for Aerospace Manufacturing and Rework Facilities						
Source	§ 63.751 Monitoring Requirements			§ 63.752 Recordkeeping Requirements		
	(a) Enclosed Spray Gun Cleaners	(c) Dry Particulate Filter – Primer and Topcoats	(b) Cleaning Operations	(c) Primer and Topcoat Application	(d) Inorganic HAP Emissions	Comments
S-103*	NA	NA	C	C	NA	Dock Touch-up Painting (permit was archived on August 15, 2016)
S-104*	NA	NA	C	C	NA	Dock Touch-up Painting (permit was archived on August 15, 2016)
S-105	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	
S-112	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	
S-123	NA	C	C	C	C	
S-126	NA	C	C	C	C	
S-128	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	
S-140	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	
S-146	NA	C	C	C	C	
S-198	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	Wipe Clean Operation.
S-258	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	
S-275	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	This source is no longer in operation, and the permit to operate was cancelled on October 2, 2015.
S-280	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	This source is no longer in operation, and the permit to operate was cancelled in May 2013.
S-284	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	
S-288	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	
S-289	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	
S-290	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	
S-291	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	This source is no longer in operation, and the permit to operate was cancelled on October 2, 2015.



**TABLE 1-2
MONITORING AND RECORDKEEPING**

40 CFR Part 63, Subpart GG National Emission Standards for Aerospace Manufacturing and Rework Facilities						
Source	§ 63.751 Monitoring Requirements			§ 63.752 Recordkeeping Requirements		
	(a) Enclosed Spray Gun Cleaners	(c) Dry Particulate Filter -- Primer and Topcoats	(b) Cleaning Operations	(c) Primer and Topcoat Application	(d) Inorganic HAP Emissions	Comments
S-292	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	This source is no longer in operation, and the permit to operate was cancelled on October 2, 2015.
S-293	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	This source is no longer in operation, and the permit to operate was cancelled on October 2, 2015.
S-327	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	This source is no longer in operation, and the permit to operate was cancelled on October 2, 2015.
S-328	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	
S-329	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	
S-330	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	
S-331	NA	NA	C	NA	NA	

C = Compliant
NC = Non-Compliant
NA = Not Applicable

* Note: In August 2015, United applied for a modification to its permit to operate to consolidate sources S-97 through S-104 (dock sources) into a single source. On August 15, 2016, BAAQMD issued a permit to operate for S-400 for facility-wide non-booth aerospace coating operations and, as a result, sources S-97 through S-104 were archived. The permit to operate modification does not reflect a change in operations at the facility. S-400 was in compliance with the applicable requirement pursuant to 40 CFR 63.752 (b) and (c).



2.0 DISCUSSION

2.1 Cleaning Operations: Housekeeping Measures

Housekeeping Requirements for Cleaning Operations:

Aerospace NESHAP housekeeping requirements for cleaning operations include the following (40 CFR §§ 63.744(a)(1)-(3)):

- Solvent-laden cloth, paper, or other absorbent applicators used for cleaning aerospace vehicles or components must be stored in bags or other closed containers after use. These bags or containers must be designed to contain solvent vapors and be kept closed except when depositing or removing materials. This requirement does not apply to cotton-tipped swabs for very small cleaning operations.
- Fresh and spent cleaning solvents, except semi-aqueous solvent cleaners, used in aerospace cleaning operations must be stored in closed containers.
- Cleaning solvents must be handled and transferred to or from enclosed systems, vats, or waste containers in a manner that minimizes spills.

Housekeeping measures are applicable to all United cleaning operations, as defined in 40 CFR § 63.742, except those utilizing solvents with VOC or HAP levels below the minimums in 40 CFR § 63.741(f) and those utilizing solvents classified as "semi-aqueous solvent cleaners." (40 CFR §§ 63.741(f), 63.742, 63.744(a)). These requirements also do not govern use of solvents outside of aerospace operations. (40 CFR §§ 63.742, 63.744(a)).

Process Description:

United uses solvent-laden cloth, paper, and other absorbent applicators for cleaning aerospace components throughout the SFMC. United has approved the following containers for the storage and disposal of solvent-laden material:

- 5- to 10-gallon safety container with foot operated, gravity-closing lid;
- 55-gallon "open-head drum funnels" with closing lid and lip cover clip;
- 1-gallon can with lid; and
- Plastic bags that are kept closed.

These containers were selected because they meet the requirements in 40 CFR § 63.744(a)(1), which specifies that the cleaning operations use "bags and containers of such design so as to contain the vapors of the cleaning solvent."

Monitoring Requirements:

See the discussions of the specific cleaning operations below.

Recordkeeping Requirements:

Records that include the name, vapor pressure, and documentation of the organic HAP constituents of each cleaning solvent used at the affected sources are maintained on-site. (40 CFR § 63.752(b)(1)).



Reporting Requirements:

No specific reporting requirements are associated with housekeeping measures.

2.2 Hand-Wipe Cleaning Operations

Requirements:

Hand-wipe cleaning is defined as "removal of contaminants . . . from an aerospace vehicle or component by physically rubbing it with a material such as a rag, paper, or cotton swab that has been moistened with a cleaning solvent." (40 CFR § 63.742). Hand-wipe cleaning operations that use solvents with HAP or VOC content above the threshold amounts in 40 CFR § 63.741(f) are subject to the Aerospace NESHAP. In general, operations must use cleaning solvents that meet one of the classifications below (40 CFR § 63.744(b)(1)-(2)):

- Aqueous cleaner in which water is the primary ingredient (i.e., $\geq 80\%$ water).
- Hydrocarbon-based cleaner with a vapor pressure maximum of 7 mm Hg at 20 deg. C, containing no HAP compounds.
- Cleaner which has a composite vapor pressure of 45 mm Hg or less at 20 degrees C.

Exempt Cleaning Operations:

Thirteen exempt cleaning operations in which non-compliant solvent can be used are specified in 40 CFR § 63.744(e). The eight exempt cleaning operations applicable to United are listed below:

- Cleaning and surface activation prior to adhesive bonding (40 CFR § 63.744(e)(3));
- Cleaning of electronic parts and assemblies containing electronic parts (40 CFR § 63.744(e)(4));
- Cleaning of aircraft and ground support equipment fluid systems that are exposed to the fluid of hydraulic fluid systems (40 CFR § 63.744(e)(5));
- Cleaning of fuel cells, fuel tanks, and confined spaces (40 CFR § 63.744(e)(6));
- Surface cleaning of solar cells, coated optics, and thermal control surfaces (40 CFR § 63.744(e)(7));
- Cleaning during fabricating, assembly, installation, and maintenance of upholstery, curtains, carpet, and other textile materials used in the interior of the aircraft (40 CFR § 63.744(e)(8));
- Cleaning of metallic and non-metallic materials used in honeycomb cores during the manufacture or maintenance of these cores, and cleaning of the completed cores used in the manufacture of aerospace vehicles or components (40 CFR § 63.744(e)(9)); and
- Cleaning and cleaning solvent usage associated with research and development, quality control, and laboratory testing (40 CFR § 63.744(E)(11)).

Process Description:

Presently 11 different hand-wipe solvents are being used at the SFMC. Table 2-1 summarizes the hand-wipe cleaning solvents used at the SFMC.



Monitoring Requirements:

None.

Recordkeeping Requirements:

The facility must maintain records as follows (40 CFR §§ 63.752(b)(1)-(4)):

- Maintain records showing the name, vapor pressure, and the organic HAP constituents for every cleaning solvent used.
- Retain the name, all data and calculations that demonstrate composition, and annual records of the volume of each cleaning solvent used in hand-wipe operations that comply with the composition requirements of 40 CFR § 63.744(b)(1).
- Retain the name, vapor pressure, data/calculations/test results that demonstrate vapor pressure, and monthly records of the volume (in gallons) of each cleaning solvent used in hand-wipe operations that comply with the vapor pressure requirements of 40 CFR § 63.744(b)(2) but not the requirements of 40 CFR § 63.733(b)(1).
- Retain the identity and monthly record of the usage rate (in gallons) for each solvent used in exempt hand-wipe cleaning operations that does not conform to the vapor pressure or composition requirements of 40 CFR § 63.744(b). Include a list of the processes provided in 40 CFR § 63.744(e) at which the cleaning operation was used.

Reporting Requirements:

The facility must report the following information semiannually (40 CFR § 63.753(b)):

- Any instance when a non-compliant solvent is used in a non-exempt hand-wipe operation;
- Any new cleaning solvents used in the previous six months (report, as appropriate, either their composite vapor pressure or provide notification that they comply with the composition requirements of 40 CFR § 63.744(b)(1)); and
- A statement certifying the facility compliance status with the applicable standards and a statement of compliance signed by a responsible official certifying compliance with all applicable requirements.

Table 2-1
United Airlines, Inc. Hand-Wipe Cleaning Solvents Summary

Solvent	Vapor pressure (mm Hg @ 20°C)	Contain VOCs or HAPs?	Acceptable for Hand-Wipe Cleaning?
Isopropyl Alcohol	33	Yes	Yes
Acetone	182	No	Yes
Desoclean 45	45	Yes	Yes
Toluene	22	Yes	Yes
Stoddard Solvent	1	Yes	Yes
Denatured Alcohol	42	Yes	Yes
Ethyl Alcohol	42	Yes	Yes
Naphtha	1	Yes	Yes
LPS Presolv	<5	Yes	Yes
Citrikleen	0.18	Yes	Yes
Mineral Spirits	1	Yes	Yes



2.3 Spray Gun Cleaning Operations

Requirements:

Spray guns are devices that atomize a coating or other material and project the particulates or other material on to a substrate. (40 CFR § 63.742). Spray guns used for applying primers, topcoats, and specialty coatings must be cleaned using one or more of the following techniques, unless the cleaning solvents used contain HAP and VOC amounts greater than the thresholds in 40 CFR § 63.741(f) (40 CFR §§ 63.744(c)(1)-(4)):

- **Enclosed System:** The spray gun is cleaned by forcing solvent through the gun in an enclosed system that is closed at all times except when inserting and removing the spray gun.
- **Non-atomized Cleaning:** The spray gun is cleaned by placing cleaning solvent in the pressure pot and forcing it through the spray gun with the atomizing cap in place using no atomizing air. The cleaning solvent must be directed into a vat, drum, or other waste container that is closed when not in use.
- **Disassembled Spray Gun Cleaning:** The spray gun is disassembled and components cleaned by hand in a vat, which remains closed at all times except when in use. Alternatively, the components are soaked in a vat that remains closed during the soaking period and when not inserting or removing the components.
- **Atomized Cleaning:** The spray gun is cleaned by forcing cleaning solvent through the gun and directing the resulting atomized spray into a waste container fitted with a device designed to capture the atomized cleaning solvent emissions.

Cleaning nozzle tips of automated spray equipment systems, except for robotic systems that can be programed to spray into a closed container, are exempt from these requirements. (40 CFR § 63.744(c)(5)).

Process Description:

Solvents used to clean paint guns at the SFMC include: Desoclean 45, isopropyl alcohol, and denatured alcohol. Spray gun cleaning with each of these solvents is subject to the Aerospace NESHAP.

Spray gun cleaning is performed at the following paint spray booths:

- S-61
- S-123
- S-126
- S-146

Enclosed spray gun cleaners are no longer used at this facility. Therefore, all spray gun cleaning is classified as disassembled spray gun cleaning as defined in 40 CFR § 63.744(c)(3). Furthermore, paint spray booth S-280 ceased operation in May 2012, and the permit to operate was cancelled in May 2013.

Monitoring Requirements:

Inspect enclosed spray gun cleaners at least once per month while the system is in operation, as described in 40 CFR § 63.751(a). (Note: United does not utilize enclosed spray gun cleaners at this facility).

Recordkeeping:

The facility must maintain records showing the name, vapor pressure, and organic HAP constituents of each cleaning solvent used by each spray gun cleaning operation subject to the Aerospace NESHAP. (40 CFR § 63.752(b)(1)). (Note: United does not utilize enclosed spray gun cleaners at this facility).



Reporting Requirements:

The facility must report the following information semiannually (40 CFR §§ 63.753(b)(1)(iii), (iv)-(v)):

- Any instance when a non-compliant spray gun cleaning method is used.
- Any instance when a leaking enclosed spray gun cleaner remains unrepaired and in use for more than 15 days. (Note: United does not utilize enclosed spray gun cleaners at this facility).
- A statement certifying the facility compliance status with the applicable standards and a statement of compliance signed by a responsible official certifying compliance with all applicable requirements.

2.3 Flush Cleaning Operations

Requirements:

Flush cleaning means "the removal of contaminants...from an aerospace vehicle or component or coating equipment by passing solvent over, through, or into the item being cleaned." (40 CFR § 63.742). Flush cleaning operations that do not use solvents that have HAP or VOC amounts over the thresholds in 40 CFR 63.741(f) or that qualify as hydrocarbon-based or aqueous cleaning solvents per Table 1 in 40 CFR § 63.744 are not subject to flush cleaning requirements. (40 CFR § 63.744(d)). Otherwise, the used cleaning solvent must be emptied each time aerospace parts or assemblies, or components of a coating unit, other than spray guns, are flush cleaned into an enclosed container or collection system that remains closed when not in used or into a system with equivalent emission control. (*Id.*)

Process Description:

All flush cleaning operations at the SFMC primarily use mineral spirits/Stoddard solvent at solvent spray booths (non-atomized), or cold cleaners.

Monitoring Requirements:

None.

Recordkeeping Requirements:

The facility must maintain records showing the name, vapor pressure, and organic HAP constituents of each cleaning solvent used by each flush cleaning operation subject to the Aerospace NESHAP. (40 CFR § 63.752(b)(1)). For semi-aqueous cleaning solvents used for flush cleaning operations, records must include the amount of each cleaning solvent used, data and calculations that demonstrate the cleaning solvent complies with the composition requirements, and annual records of the volume of each solvent used, as determined by purchase or usage records. (40 CFR § 63.752(b)(2)). United keeps usage records for flush cleaning throughout to comply with the Bay Area Air Quality Management District's permit to operate.

Reporting Requirements

The facility must report the following information semiannually (40 CFR § 63.753(b)(1)(v)):

- A statement certifying the facility compliance status with the applicable standards and a statement of compliance signed by a responsible official certifying compliance with all applicable requirements.



2.4 Primer and Topcoat Application Operations: Inorganic HAP Emissions

Requirements:

The Aerospace NESHAP applies to each spray booth or hangar that contains a primer or topcoat application operation that uses coatings with inorganic HAPs. (40 CFR § 63.749(a)(1)). Under the Aerospace NESHAP, the compliance date for specialty coating application operations existing on February 17, 2015, is December 7, 2018. (40 CFR § 63.741(f)). If these primer and topcoat application operations spray-apply inorganic HAP coatings, they must comply with the following requirements (40 CFR §§ 63.745(g)(1)-(3)):

- Apply the primer or topcoat in a booth or hangar in which airflow is directed downward onto or across the part or assembly being coated and exhausted through one or more outlets; and
- If the source is existing (40 CFR § 63.745(g)(2)(i)):
 - Before exhausting the air stream to the atmosphere, pass it through a certified dry particulate filter system or an air pollution control system that meets or exceeds the efficiency standards and/or data points in Tables 1 and 2 of 40 CFR § 63.745; or
 - Before exhausting the air stream to the atmosphere, pass it through a waterwash system that remains in operation during all coating application operations; or
- If the source is new (40 CFR § 63.745(g)(2)(ii)):
 - Pass the air stream through a certified dry particulate filter system or an air pollution control system that meets or exceeds the efficiency data points in Tables 3 and 4 of 40 CFR § 63.745; or
- If the new source was constructed or reconstructed prior to June 6, 1994, but prior to October 29, 1996, the facility may comply with the following requirements instead (40 CFR § 63.745(g)(2)(iii)):
 - Pass the air stream through a two-stage dry particulate filter system or a waterwash system; or
 - If the primer or topcoat contains chromium or cadmium, the source must use a HEPA filter system, three-stage filter system, or other control system equivalent to a three-stage filter system approved by the BAAQMD.

A conventional waterwash system must have the water flow rate monitored continuously and have the rate read and recorded once per shift. (40 CFR § 63.745(g)(2)(v)). A pumpless system must continuously monitor booth parameter(s) that indicate performance consistent with the manufacturer's recommendations, and the parameter(s) must be read and recorded once per shift, or must have an interlock system that will automatically shut down the coating spray application system if the booth parameters are outside the parameter range in the manufacturer's recommendations. (*Id.*)

A dry particulate filter system must be maintained in good working order, have a differential pressure gauge across the filter banks, and have the pressure drop across the filter continuously monitored, and be read and recorded once per shift or have an interlock system that will automatically shut down the coating spray application system if the pressure drop exceeds or falls below the filter manufacturer's recommended limit(s). (40 CFR §§ 63.745(g)(2)(iv)(A)-(C)). If the pressure drop exceeds or falls below the filter manufacturer's recommended limits, the facility must take corrective action. (*Id.* at § 63.745(g)(2)(iv)(D)).

If the dry particulate filter systems and/or waterwash systems do not perform as specified by 40 CFR § 63.745(g)(3), the operation must be shutdown immediately and corrective action must be taken. (40 CFR § 63.745(g)(3)).

Process Description:

Inorganic HAPs in paints and primers used at the SFMC include chromium and nickel compounds. The HAP-containing coating used most widely is the "fluid resistant primer," manufactured by PRC-Desoto.



Presently, four aircraft painting booths use HVLP spray guns to apply this inorganic HAP-containing primer. These booths are listed in Table 2-2.

Monitoring Requirements:

The following monitoring requirements are established by 40 CFR § 63.751(c):

- Continuously monitor the pressure drop across the dry particulate filters, and record the pressure drop once during each shift of coating operation, or install an interlock system.
- Continuously monitor the water flow rate through the waterwash system and record the water flow rate once during each shift of coating operation, or install an interlock system. (Note: United does not operate any waterwash control units.)

Recordkeeping Requirements:

The following recordkeeping measures are required by 40 CFR §§ 63.752(d)(1)-(3):

- For the dry particulate filter or HEPA filter systems, the pressure drop across the operating system (i.e., filter bank) shall be recorded once each shift.
- For waterwash systems, the water flow rate shall be recorded on the log sheet once each shift of coating operation. (Note: United does not operate any paint booths utilizing waterwash control.)
- The logs shall include the acceptable operating pressure drop range, water flow rate, or booth manufacturer recommended parameters as applicable.

In addition, the Aerospace NESHAP requires the following information for any failure to meet an applicable standard (40 CFR §§ 63.752(a)(1)-(3)):

- Number, date, time, and duration of failures to meet the applicable standard;
- List of affected sources or equipment, an estimate of the quantity of excess emissions, and description of emissions estimation method; and
- Details of actions taken to minimize emissions in accordance with 40 CFR § 63.743(e) and corrective actions to return affected unit to normal or usual manner of operation.

Reporting Requirements:

The facility must report the following information semiannually (40 CFR §§ 63.753(c)(1)(v)-(vii)):

- Each exceedance of the operating parameter(s) established for control devices under the initial compliance test.
- Any times when the primer or topcoat operation was not immediately shut down when the pressure drop across a dry particulate filter or HEPA filter system, the water flow rate through a conventional waterwash system, or the recommended parameters(s) that indicate the booth performance for pumpless systems was outside the manufacturer's recommended range or limits in locally prepared operating procedures.
- A statement that the operations have complied with applicable standards.

The facility must report the following information annually (40 CFR § 63.753(c)(2)):

- The number of times the pressure drop or water flow rate for each dry filter or waterwash system was outside applicable limits specified by the filter or booth manufacturer or in locally prepared operating procedures.



Table 2-2
Booths Subject to Inorganic HAP Requirements

Source ID	Location	Operation	Paint Booth Filter Parameters		
			Pressure Monitoring Device Required	Number of Stages Required	Compliant Filter Manufacturer
S-61	Bldg. 84 A	Miscellaneous parts painting (FR primer and topcoat)	Yes	2	ATI
S-123	Bldg. 84	Landing gear paint booth (FR primer and topcoat)	Yes	3	SmartMedia®/ Purolator
S-126	Bldg. 15	Bonding primer paint booth (also FR primer and topcoat)	Yes	2	ATI
S-146	Bldg. 10	Cabin equipment paint shop plus radomes and flaps	Yes	2	ATI

2.5 Primer and Topcoat Application Operations: Organic HAP/VOC Content

Requirements:

The Aerospace NESHAP regulates organic HAP and VOC emissions from primer and topcoat application operations. (40 CFR §§ 63.741(c)(2)-(3)).

Under the Aerospace NESHAP, the compliance date for specialty coating application operations existing on February 17, 2015, is December 7, 2018. (40 CFR § 63.749(a)(1)).

Coatings applied to parts and assemblies not critical to an aerospace vehicle's structural integrity or flight performance are not covered. Nor are primers and topcoats containing HAP and VOC concentrations below amounts established in 40 CFR § 63.741(f) covered. A low volume coating exemption is provided for non-compliant primers and topcoats in which the annual use for each formulation does not exceed 50 gallons and the combined annual total of these low-volume coatings is less than 200 gallons. (40 CFR § 63.741(g)).

A non-exempt primer or topcoat operation with organic HAP or VOC emissions must comply with the following requirements (40 CFR §§ 63.745(b)-(f)):

- The handling and transfer of primers and topcoats to or from containers, tanks, vats, vessels, and piping systems must be done in a way that minimizes spills.
- For coatings that are uncontrolled:
 - Organic HAP and VOC emissions for primers must be limited to the applicable content levels set forth in 40 CFR § 63.745(c)(1)-(2); and
 - Organic HAP and VOC emissions for topcoats must be limited to the applicable content



- levels set forth in 40 CFR § 63.745(c)(2)-(3).
- Compliance with these limits will occur with use of coatings that with compliant organic HAP and VOC content levels and/or with use of the averaging provisions in 40 CFR § 63.743(d).
 - For coatings that are controlled, each control system shall reduce the operation's organic HAP and VOC emissions by 81% or greater, as determined using the procedures in 40 CFR §§ 63.750(g)(h).
 - The facility must also use certain primer and topcoat application techniques and equipment as specified in 40 CFR § 63.745(f). Situations in 40 CFR § 63.745(f)(3) may be exempt from the application technique requirements.

Compliance Option Selection:

The SFMC has selected the as-applied method of achieving compliance, i.e., use of primers and/or topcoats that comply with the content levels set forth in 40 CFR § 63.745(c)(1)-(4). Monthly records are maintained in the United EA office, Building 49, second floor.

Process Description:

The primers and topcoats used at the paint booths or coating application areas meet the Aerospace NESHAP limits. United maintains "Aerospace Coating Usage Records" for each paint booth or operation. These records provide data necessary to calculate the monthly VOC and organic HAP content.

United has also developed an emissions tracking database that electronically stores the usage data and can be used to generate usage and emission summary reports.

The coating operations that are subject to the NESHAP are listed in Table 2-3.

Table 2-3
United's Coating Operations Subject to the Organic HAP/VOC Standard

Source ID	Location	Operation
S-61	Building 84A	Miscellaneous Parts Painting
S-123	Building 84	Landing Gear Paint Booth
S-126	Building 15	Bonding Primer Paint Booth
S-146	Building 10	Cabin Equipment Paint Shop
S-97*	Dock 1	Touch-up Painting (no spray gun)
S-98*	Dock 2	Touch-up Painting (no spray gun)
S-99*	Dock 3	Touch-up Painting (no spray gun)
S-100*	Dock 4	Touch-up Painting (no spray gun)
S-101*	Dock 5	Touch-up Painting (no spray gun)
S-102*	Dock 6	Touch-up Painting (no spray gun)
S-103*	Dock 7	Touch-up Painting (no spray gun)
S-104*	B-29	Touch-up Painting (no spray gun)
S-400*	Facility-wide	Aerospace Non-booth Coating Operations

*Note: In August 2015, United applied for a modification to its permit to operate to consolidate sources S-97 through S-104 (dock sources) into a single source. On August 15, 2016, BAAQMD issued a permit to operate for S-400 for facility-wide non-booth aerospace coating operations and, as a result, archived sources S-97 through S-104. The permit to operate modification does not reflect a change in operations at the facility.).



Recordkeeping Requirements:

For the operations described in this report, United must maintain records as follows (40 CFR §§ 63.752(c)(1)-(2)):

- The name and VOC content as received and as applied for each primer and topcoat used at the facility; and
- For uncontrolled primers and topcoats that meet the organic HAP and VOC content limits in 40 CFR §§ 63.745(c)(1)-(4) without averaging:
 - The mass of organic HAP emitted per unit volume of coating as applied (less water) (Hi) and the mass of VOC emitted per unit volume of coating as applied (less water and exempt solvents) (Gi) for each coating formulation within each coating category used each month (as calculated using the procedures specified in 40 CFR §§ 63.750(c) and (e));
 - All data, calculations, and test results used to determine the values of Hi and Gi; and
 - The volume (gal) of each coating formulation within each coating category used each month; or
 - The manufacturer's supplied data to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR § 63.745(c).

Reporting Requirements:

The facility must report the following information semiannually (40 CFR §§ 63.753(c)(1)(i), (6)):

- All instances when applicable organic HAP or VOC limits were exceeded.
- A statement that the operations have complied with applicable standards.

2.7 Depainting Operations (Not Applicable)

United does not conduct depainting operations regulated by 40 CFR § 63.746.

2.8 Chemical Milling Maskant Operations (Not Applicable)

United does not conduct chemical milling maskant application operations regulated by 40 CFR § 63.747.

THIS PAGE MARKS THE CONCLUSION OF THIS SEMIANNUAL REPORT.